



ANNUAL REPORT  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 528 OF THE JUDICIARY LAW

2016



LAWRENCE K. MARKS  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



# ANNUAL REPORT

## PURSUANT TO SECTION 528 OF THE JUDICIARY LAW

2016

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	1
TABLE A: JURORS SERVED AND CARDS COMPLETED BY COUNTY .....	2
TABLE B: GENDER BY COUNTY .....	3
TABLE C: HISPANIC ORIGIN OF ANY RACE BY COUNTY .....	4
TABLE D: RACE BY COUNTY .....	5
TABLE E: AGE BY COUNTY .....	7

## INTRODUCTION

Section 528 of the Judiciary Law, adopted on June 15, 2010, directs the “commissioner of jurors to collect demographic data for jurors who present for jury service.” The statute directs collection of data describing: race and/or ethnicity, age and sex. The statute further directs submission of the data in an annual report to the Governor, Speaker of the Assembly, President of the Senate and the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals. This report is for the calendar year 2016.

### DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Each person who appears for jury service is asked to complete a scannable data collection card. The card explains that New York State Judiciary Law §528 requires the court to collect data about jurors. The juror is asked to:

- Fill in the date
- Indicate whether she or he was “here to serve as a trial juror or a grand juror”
- Indicate gender
- Answer questions about race, Hispanic origin, and year of birth, and
- Indicate county of jury service.

Questions about Hispanic origin and race are the same ones used in the 2010 census. The collected cards are scanned in multiple locations around the state; data read by the scanners is sent to a central computer drive at the Office of Court Administration for compilation and analysis.

### OVERVIEW

In calendar year 2016, there were 352,033 cards completed and successfully scanned and 455,809 jurors appeared for service. The following pages contain tables showing the numbers of jurors served and cards completed in each county in 2016 followed by tables showing the percentage of responses for each demographic characteristic.<sup>1</sup>

---

1. The number of cards processed occasionally exceeds the number of jurors who served as those who appear in response to summons includes people who are postponed, excused or disqualified and are therefore not “present for jury service.” Every effort is made to avoid including completed cards for prospective jurors who appear only to be excused, postponed or disqualified.

**TABLE A: JURORS SERVED AND CARDS COMPLETED BY COUNTY - 2016**

<b>County</b>	<b>Jurors Served</b>	<b>Completed Cards</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Jurors Served</b>	<b>Completed Cards</b>
Albany	6,745	7,373	Niagara	2,729	1,858
Allegany	352	148	Oneida	1,525	816
Bronx	44,040	24,234	Onondaga	6,457	4,308
Broome	2,430	1,997	Ontario	2,486	2,261
Cattaraugus	1,141	607	Orange	8,188	3,424
Cayuga	1,074	1,018	Orleans	341	253
Chautauqua	1,675	1,088	Oswego	726	353
Chemung	1,830	1,211	Otsego	637	445
Chenango	407	64	Putnam	965	865
Clinton	2,215	1,628	Queens	55,840	51,390
Columbia	1,679	1,958	Rensselaer	3,824	3,492
Cortland	873	783	Richmond	12,531	13,769
Delaware	564	284	Rockland	4,521	4,253
Dutchess	4,626	3,151	Saratoga	1,388	1,071
Erie	11,828	7,617	Schenectady	4,540	2,801
Essex	680	341	Schoharie	399	92
Franklin	1,853	443	Schuyler	338	453
Fulton	666	568	Seneca	663	442
Genesee	863	401	St. Lawrence	1,371	968
Greene	513	427	Steuben	1,353	1,294
Hamilton	87	31	Suffolk	33,150	12,403
Herkimer	340	271	Sullivan	2,022	2,041
Jefferson	776	515	Tioga	425	289
Kings	78,431	87,495	Tompkins	832	571
Lewis	711	451	Ulster	3,410	1,609
Livingston	1,078	624	Warren	1,126	827
Madison	569	325	Washington	378	355
Monroe	20,420	19,503	Wayne	813	725
Montgomery	536	443	Westchester	11,138	9,994
Nassau	34,713	26,710	Wyoming	465	276
New York	66,910	36,171	Yates	603	455
<b>Total</b>				<b>455,809</b>	<b>352,033</b>

**TABLE B: GENDER BY COUNTY\* - 2016**

<b>County</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>
Albany	52%	46%	Niagara	46%	37%
Allegany	41%	45%	Oneida	51%	43%
Bronx	55%	41%	Onondaga	53%	45%
Broome	54%	46%	Ontario	49%	44%
Cattaraugus	53%	45%	Orange	49%	43%
Cayuga	46%	43%	Orleans	49%	44%
Chautauqua	40%	37%	Oswego	47%	47%
Chemung	55%	45%	Otsego	53%	47%
Chenango	55%	45%	Putnam	48%	42%
Clinton	40%	34%	Queens	49%	42%
Columbia	41%	36%	Rensselaer	51%	43%
Cortland	57%	43%	Richmond	49%	44%
Delaware	54%	46%	Rockland	50%	47%
Dutchess	48%	45%	Saratoga	44%	40%
Erie	51%	43%	Schenectady	51%	41%
Essex	37%	41%	Schoharie	49%	34%
Franklin	53%	39%	Schuyler	50%	48%
Fulton	54%	39%	Seneca	45%	45%
Genesee	55%	44%	St. Lawrence	51%	42%
Greene	54%	42%	Steuben	48%	48%
Hamilton	32%	35%	Suffolk	48%	44%
Herkimer	52%	44%	Sullivan	50%	44%
Jefferson	54%	43%	Tioga	47%	53%
Kings	52%	43%	Tompkins	53%	47%
Lewis	50%	43%	Ulster	50%	46%
Livingston	51%	44%	Warren	50%	44%
Madison	54%	46%	Washington	50%	42%
Monroe	50%	46%	Wayne	49%	46%
Montgomery	47%	40%	Westchester	52%	42%
Nassau	50%	39%	Wyoming	51%	44%
New York	50%	43%	Yates	45%	39%
<b>Total</b>				<b>51%</b>	<b>43%</b>

\*Rows do not total 100% due to non-responses.



**TABLE C: HISPANIC ORIGIN OF ANY RACE BY COUNTY - 2016**

<b>County</b>	<b>Hispanic Origin</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Hispanic Origin</b>
Albany	3%	Niagara	1%
Allegany	1%	Oneida	2%
Bronx	48%	Onondaga	3%
Broome	3%	Ontario	2%
Cattaraugus	2%	Orange	13%
Cayuga	1%	Orleans	3%
Chautauqua	3%	Oswego	1%
Chemung	1%	Otsego	2%
Chenango	2%	Putnam	8%
Clinton	2%	Queens	20%
Columbia	3%	Rensselaer	3%
Cortland	1%	Richmond	14%
Delaware	2%	Rockland	10%
Dutchess	7%	Saratoga	2%
Erie	3%	Schenectady	3%
Essex	1%	Schoharie	
Franklin	1%	Schuyler	1%
Fulton	1%	Seneca	2%
Genesee	1%	St. Lawrence	1%
Greene	2%	Steuben	1%
Hamilton		Suffolk	10%
Herkimer	1%	Sullivan	8%
Jefferson	3%	Tioga	2%
Kings	15%	Tompkins	3%
Lewis	1%	Ulster	5%
Livingston	1%	Warren	1%
Madison	2%	Washington	1%
Monroe	4%	Wayne	2%
Montgomery	4%	Westchester	13%
Nassau	10%	Wyoming	0%
New York	16%	Yates	1%
<b>Total</b>			<b>15%</b>

**TABLE D: RACE BY COUNTY\* ALBANY TO NEW YORK - 2016**

<b>County</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Black</b>	<b>Asian</b>	<b>Other/Mixed</b>
Albany	85%	8%	1%	4%
Allegany	96%			4%
Bronx	20%	37%	2%	39%
Broome	91%	3%	1%	5%
Cattaraugus	94%			5%
Cayuga	89%	1%		10%
Chautauqua	94%	1%		4%
Chemung	94%	2%		3%
Chenango	94%			2%
Clinton	93%	1%		6%
Columbia	79%	2%		18%
Cortland	96%			3%
Delaware	95%			4%
Dutchess	86%	6%	1%	6%
Erie	87%	7%		4%
Essex	73%			2%
Franklin	96%			3%
Fulton	96%	1%		3%
Genesee	99%			1%
Greene	96%	1%		4%
Hamilton	94%			6%
Herkimer	97%			3%
Jefferson	96%	2%		1%
Kings	41%	28%	1%	18%
Lewis	98%			1%
Livingston	98%			2%
Madison	92%			8%
Monroe	85%	8%	1%	4%
Montgomery	91%	1%		8%
Nassau	61%	8%	3%	24%
New York	65%	10%	2%	14%

\*Rows do not total 100% due to non-responses.

**TABLE D: RACE BY COUNTY\* NIAGARA TO YATES - 2016**

<b>County</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Black</b>	<b>Asian</b>	<b>Other/Mixed</b>
Niagara	93%	3%		4%
Oneida	94%	2%		3%
Onondaga	89%	6%	1%	4%
Ontario	96%	1%		3%
Orange	80%	7%	1%	10%
Orleans	97%			3%
Oswego	95%			4%
Otsego	94%	1%		4%
Putnam	89%	2%		7%
Queens	36%	16%	7%	23%
Rensselaer	91%	3%		5%
Richmond	72%	6%	2%	11%
Rockland	74%	10%	3%	8%
St Lawrence	95%	1%		2%
Saratoga	87%	4%	2%	7%
Schenectady	95%			5%
Schoharie	98%			2%
Schuyler	95%			3%
Seneca	97%			3%
Steuben	96%	1%		2%
Suffolk	85%	5%	1%	7%
Sullivan	87%	4%		7%
Tioga	96%			4%
Tompkins	92%	1%	1%	5%
Ulster	90%	4%		5%
Warren	96%	1%		3%
Washington	97%			3%
Wayne	95%	1%		4%
Westchester	72%	12%	2%	10%
Wyoming	97%			3%
Yates	87%	1%		12%
<b>Total</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>16%</b>

\*Rows do not total 100% due to non-responses.



**TABLE E: AGE BY COUNTY\* ALBANY TO NEW YORK - 2016**

<b>County</b>	<b>18-24</b>	<b>25-44</b>	<b>45-64</b>	<b>65-75</b>	<b>75+</b>
Albany	10%	33%	43%	10%	1%
Allegany	7%	31%	39%	14%	4%
Bronx	18%	38%	35%	5%	0%
Broome	12%	33%	41%	12%	2%
Cattaraugus	8%	31%	46%	10%	3%
Cayuga	9%	32%	40%	9%	5%
Chautauqua	10%	28%	39%	11%	3%
Chemung	10%	32%	43%	12%	2%
Chenango	5%	44%	38%	13%	2%
Clinton	8%	30%	41%	13%	3%
Columbia	10%	25%	41%	15%	3%
Cortland	9%	36%	41%	10%	3%
Delaware	9%	27%	43%	17%	4%
Dutchess	9%	26%	46%	14%	2%
Erie	12%	30%	38%	10%	2%
Essex	11%	28%	39%	15%	4%
Franklin	6%	26%	49%	12%	2%
Fulton	10%	31%	33%	12%	2%
Genesee	13%	29%	41%	12%	3%
Greene	7%	37%	42%	11%	1%
Hamilton	10%	29%	29%	10%	
Herkimer	7%	23%	46%	18%	4%
Jefferson	11%	32%	35%	10%	3%
Kings	16%	53%	25%	5%	0%
Lewis	11%	34%	34%	11%	2%
Livingston	8%	27%	46%	13%	1%
Madison	9%	34%	43%	11%	2%
Monroe	11%	31%	40%	12%	3%
Montgomery	7%	26%	47%	14%	2%
Nassau	10%	28%	34%	8%	2%
New York	6%	52%	27%	7%	1%

\*Rows do not total 100% due to non-responses.

**TABLE E: AGE BY COUNTY\* NIAGARA TO YATES - 2016**

<b>County</b>	<b>18-24</b>	<b>25-44</b>	<b>45-64</b>	<b>65-75</b>	<b>75+</b>
Niagara	11%	26%	41%	11%	2%
Oneida	9%	28%	42%	14%	3%
Onondaga	9%	31%	40%	12%	3%
Ontario	11%	25%	36%	15%	3%
Orange	8%	27%	45%	10%	1%
Orleans	9%	32%	35%	11%	4%
Oswego	9%	29%	34%	14%	4%
Otsego	6%	36%	41%	15%	2%
Putnam	6%	25%	46%	12%	3%
Queens	11%	42%	38%	8%	1%
Rensselaer	12%	31%	39%	12%	3%
Richmond	8%	31%	39%	8%	1%
Rockland	10%	29%	46%	12%	1%
St Lawrence	7%	29%	46%	12%	2%
Saratoga	12%	29%	38%	11%	1%
Schenectady	7%	28%	40%	13%	2%
Schoharie	8%	33%	37%	18%	3%
Schuyler	11%	29%	39%	17%	2%
Seneca	6%	32%	45%	12%	0%
Steuben	10%	29%	39%	15%	3%
Suffolk	10%	29%	41%	10%	1%
Sullivan	10%	25%	43%	14%	2%
Tioga	8%	35%	46%	10%	2%
Tompkins	8%	33%	43%	13%	3%
Ulster	9%	27%	41%	14%	2%
Warren	6%	27%	44%	15%	2%
Washington	10%	27%	42%	13%	3%
Wayne	8%	28%	40%	16%	3%
Westchester	8%	29%	43%	12%	2%
Wyoming	9%	28%	45%	11%	2%
Yates	10%	19%	42%	16%	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>1%</b>

\*Rows do not total 100% due to non-responses.